

# COMPENSATION

---

ELEVENTH EDITION

GEORGE MILKOVICH

JERRY NEWMAN

BARRY GERHART

# Compensation

Eleventh Edition

**George T. Milkovich**

*Cornell University*

**Jerry M. Newman**

*State University of New York–  
Buffalo*

**Barry Gerhart**

*University of Wisconsin–Madison*

 **McGraw-Hill  
Irwin**



COMPENSATION, ELEVENTH EDITION

Published by McGraw-Hill, a business unit of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020. Copyright © 2014 by The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. Previous editions © 2011, 2008, and 2005. No part of this publication may be reproduced or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written consent of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., including, but not limited to, in any network or other electronic storage or transmission, or broadcast for distance learning.

Some ancillaries, including electronic and print components, may not be available to customers outside the United States.

This book is printed on acid-free paper.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 DOC/DOC 1 0 9 8 7 6 5 4 3

ISBN 978-0-07-802949-3

MHID 0-07-802949-X

Senior Vice President, Products & Markets: *Kurt L. Strand*

Vice President, Content Production & Technology Services: *Kimberly Meriwether David*

Vice President, General Manager: *Brent Gordon*

Publisher: *Paul Ducham*

Sponsoring Editor: *Michael Ablassmeir*

Marketing Manager: *Elizabeth Trepkowski*

Development Editor: *Andrea Heirendt*

Director, Content Production: *Terri Schiesl*

Project Manager: *Mary Jane Lampe*

Buyer: *Nicole Birkenholz*

Media Project Manager: *Prashanthi Nadipalli*

Cover Designer: *Studio Montage, St. Louis, MO*

Typeface: *10/12 Times*

Composer: *Aptara®*, Inc.

Printer: *R. R. Donnelley*

All credits appearing on page or at the end of the book are considered to be an extension of the copyright page.

**Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data**

Milkovich, George T.

Compensation / George Milkovich, Jerry Newman, Barry Gerhart.—11th ed.

p. cm.

ISBN 978-0-07-802949-3 (alk. paper)—ISBN 0-07-802949-X (alk. paper)

I. Compensation management. I. Newman, Jerry M. II. Gerhart, Barry A. III. Title.

HF5549.5.C67M54 2014

658.3'2—dc23

2012040180

The Internet addresses listed in the text were accurate at the time of publication. The inclusion of a website does not indicate an endorsement by the authors or McGraw-Hill, and McGraw-Hill does not guarantee the accuracy of the information presented at these sites.

# Table of Contents

## **Preface** xiii

## **PART ONE** **INTRODUCING THE PAY MODEL** **AND PAY STRATEGY**

### **Chapter 1**

#### **The Pay Model** 3

Compensation: Does It Matter? (or, “So What?”) 4

Compensation: Definition, Please 5

*Society* 5

*Stockholders* 7

*Managers* 9

*Employees* 11

*Incentive and Sorting Effects of Pay on Employer’s*

*Behaviors* 11

*Global Views—Vive la Différence* 12

Forms of Pay 13

*Cash Compensation: Base* 14

*Cash Compensation: Merit Pay/Cost-of-Living*  
*Adjustments* 14

*Cash Compensation: Incentives* 15

*Long-Term Incentives* 15

*Benefits: Income Protection* 16

*Benefits: Work/Life Balance* 16

*Benefits: Allowances* 16

*Total Earnings Opportunities: Present Value of a*  
*Stream of Earnings* 17

*Relational Returns from Work* 17

A Pay Model 18

*Compensation Objectives* 19

*Four Policy Choices* 21

*Pay Techniques* 23

Book Plan 24

*Caveat Emptor—Be an Informed Consumer* 25

*1. Is the Research Useful?* 25

*2. Does the Study Separate Correlation from*  
*Causation?* 26

*3. Are There Alternative Explanations?* 26

*Your Turn: The Role of Labor Costs in the Retail*  
*Electronics and Airline Industries* 27

### **Chapter 2**

#### **Strategy: The Totality of Decisions** 38

Similarities and Differences in Strategies 38

*Different Strategies within the Same Industry* 41

*Different Strategies within the Same Company* 41

Strategic Choices 42

Support Business Strategy 43

Support HR Strategy 45

The Pay Model Guides Strategic Pay Decisions 46

*Stated versus Unstated Strategies* 47

Developing a Total Compensation Strategy:

Four Steps 48

*Step 1: Assess Total Compensation Implications* 49

*HR Strategy: Pay as a Supporting Player or*

*Catalyst for Change?* 49

*Step 2: Map a Total Compensation Strategy* 52

*Steps 3 and 4: Implement and Reassess* 55

Source of Competitive Advantage: Three Tests 55

*Align* 55

*Differentiate* 55

*Add Value* 56

“Best Practices” versus “Best Fit”? 57

Guidance from the Evidence 57

Virtuous and Vicious Circles 58

*Your Turn: Merrill Lynch* 59

*Still Your Turn: Mapping Compensation*

*Strategies* 61

## **PART TWO**

### **INTERNAL ALIGNMENT:** **DETERMINING THE STRUCTURE**

### **Chapter 3**

#### **Defining Internal Alignment** 71

Jobs and Compensation 72

Compensation Strategy: Internal Alignment 72  
    *Supports Organization Strategy* 73  
    *Supports Work Flow* 73  
    *Motivates Behavior* 74

Structures Vary among Organizations 74  
    *Number of Levels* 75  
    *Differentials* 75  
    *Criteria: Content and Value* 75

What Shapes Internal Structures? 78  
    *Economic Pressures* 78  
    *Government Policies, Laws, and Regulations* 79  
    *External Stakeholders* 79  
    *Cultures and Customs* 80  
    *Organization Strategy* 80  
    *Organization Human Capital* 81  
    *Organization Work Design* 81  
    *Overall HR Policies* 81  
    *Internal Labor Markets: Combining External and Organization Factors* 82  
    *Employee Acceptance: A Key Factor* 83  
    *Pay Structures Change* 83

Strategic Choices in Designing Internal Structures 84  
    *Tailored versus Loosely Coupled* 84  
    *Hierarchical versus Egalitarian* 84

Guidance from the Evidence 86  
    *Equity Theory: Fairness* 86  
    *Tournament Theory: Motivation and Performance* 88  
    *Institutional Model: Copy Others* 89  
    *(More) Guidance from the Evidence* 90

Consequences of Structures 91  
    *Efficiency* 91  
    *Fairness* 91  
    *Compliance* 91

*Your Turn: So You Want to Lead an Orchestra!* 92  
*Still Your Turn: (If You Don't Want to Lead the Orchestra . . .)* 93

**Chapter 4**  
**Job Analysis 100**

Structures Based on Jobs, People, or Both 101  
Job-Based Approach: Most Common 103  
    *Why Perform Job Analysis?* 103

Job Analysis Procedures 104  
What Information Should Be Collected? 105  
    *Job Data: Identification* 105  
    *Job Data: Content* 105  
    *Employee Data* 107  
    *"Essential Elements" and the Americans With Disabilities Act* 110  
    *Level of Analysis* 111

How Can the Information Be Collected? 112  
    *Conventional Methods* 112  
    *Quantitative Methods* 112  
    *Who Collects the Information?* 114  
    *Who Provides the Information?* 114  
    *What about Discrepancies?* 115

Job Descriptions Summarize the Data 116  
    *Using Generic Job Descriptions* 116  
    *Describing Managerial/Professional Jobs* 116  
    *Verify the Description* 117

Job Analysis: Bedrock or Bureaucracy? 119

Job Analysis and Globalization 120  
    *Job Analysis and Susceptibility to Offshoring* 120  
    *Job Analysis Information and Comparability across Borders* 122

Judging Job Analysis 122  
    *Reliability* 122  
    *Validity* 123  
    *Acceptability* 123  
    *Currency* 123  
    *Usefulness* 123  
    *A Judgment Call* 124

*Your Turn: The Customer-Service Agent* 125

**Chapter 5**  
**Job-Based Structures and Job Evaluation 134**

Job-Based Structures: Job Evaluation 135  
Defining Job Evaluation: Content, Value, and External Market Links 136  
    *Content and Value* 136  
    *Linking Content with the External Market* 136  
    *Technical and Process Dimensions* 137

"How-To": Major Decisions 137  
    *Establish the Purpose* 138  
    *Single versus Multiple Plans* 138

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Choose among Job Evaluation Methods</i> | 140 |
| Job Evaluation Methods                     | 141 |
| <i>Ranking</i>                             | 141 |
| <i>Classification</i>                      | 142 |
| <i>Point Method</i>                        | 144 |
| Who Should Be Involved?                    | 155 |
| <i>The Design Process Matters</i>          | 156 |
| The Final Result: Structure                | 157 |
| Balancing Chaos and Control                | 158 |
| <i>Your Turn: Job Evaluation at</i>        |     |
| Whole Foods                                | 159 |

## Chapter 6

### Person-Based Structures 167

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Person-Based Structures: Skill Plans                    | 168 |
| <i>Types of Skill Plans</i>                             | 168 |
| <i>Purpose of the Skill-Based Structure</i>             | 171 |
| “How-To”: Skill Analysis                                | 172 |
| <i>What Information to Collect?</i>                     | 172 |
| <i>Whom to Involve?</i>                                 | 173 |
| <i>Establish Certification Methods</i>                  | 173 |
| <i>Outcomes of Skill-Based Pay Plans: Guidance from</i> |     |
| <i>Research and Experience</i>                          | 175 |
| Person-Based Structures: Competencies                   | 176 |
| <i>Defining Competencies</i>                            | 179 |
| <i>Purpose of the Competency-Based</i>                  |     |
| <i>Structure</i>  | 180 |
| “How-To”: Competency Analysis                           | 181 |
| <i>Objective</i>  | 182 |
| <i>What Information to Collect?</i>                     | 182 |
| <i>Whom to Involve?</i>                                 | 184 |
| <i>Establish Certification Methods</i>                  | 185 |
| <i>Resulting Structure</i>                              | 185 |
| <i>Competencies and Employee Selection and</i>          |     |
| <i>Training/Development</i>                             | 185 |
| <i>Guidance from the Research on</i>                    |     |
| <i>Competencies</i>                                     | 187 |
| One More Time: Internal Alignment Reflected in          |     |
| Structures (Person-Based or Job-Based)                  | 187 |
| Administering and Evaluating the Plan                   | 189 |
| <i>Reliability of Job Evaluation Techniques</i>         | 189 |
| <i>Validity</i>   | 191 |
| <i>Acceptability</i>                                    | 192 |
| Bias in Internal Structures                             | 192 |
| <i>Wages Criteria Bias</i>                              | 193 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| The Perfect Structure                    | 194 |
| <i>Your Turn: Climb the Legal Ladder</i> | 195 |

## PART THREE

### EXTERNAL COMPETITIVENESS: DETERMINING THE PAY LEVEL

## Chapter 7

### Defining Competitiveness 207

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Compensation Strategy: External                    |     |
| Competitiveness                                    | 208 |
| <i>Control Costs and Increase Revenues</i>         | 208 |
| <i>Attract and Retain the Right Employees</i>      | 210 |
| What Shapes External Competitiveness?              | 213 |
| Labor Market Factors                               | 213 |
| <i>How Labor Markets Work</i>                      | 215 |
| <i>Labor Demand</i>                                | 216 |
| <i>Marginal Product</i>                            | 216 |
| <i>Marginal Revenue</i>                            | 217 |
| <i>Labor Supply</i>                                | 218 |
| Modifications to the Demand Side                   | 218 |
| <i>Compensating Differentials</i>                  | 219 |
| <i>Efficiency Wage</i>                             | 220 |
| <i>Sorting and Signaling</i>                       | 221 |
| Modifications to the Supply Side (Only Two         |     |
| More Theories to Go)                               | 222 |
| <i>Reservation Wage</i>                            | 222 |
| <i>Human Capital</i>                               | 223 |
| Product Market Factors and Ability                 |     |
| to Pay   | 223 |
| <i>Product Demand</i>                              | 223 |
| <i>Degree of Competition</i>                       | 224 |
| <i>A Different View: What Managers Say</i>         | 224 |
| <i>Segmented Supplies of Labor and (Different)</i> |     |
| <i>Going Rates</i>                                 | 225 |
| Organization Factors                               | 226 |
| <i>Industry and Technology</i>                     | 226 |
| <i>Employer Size</i>                               | 226 |
| <i>People’s Preferences</i>                        | 227 |
| <i>Organization Strategy</i>                       | 227 |
| Relevant Markets                                   | 228 |
| <i>Defining the Relevant Market</i>                | 228 |
| <i>Globalization of Relevant Labor Markets:</i>    |     |
| <i>Offshoring and Outsourcing</i>                  | 229 |

Competitive Pay Policy Alternatives 232  
    *What Difference Does the Pay-Level Policy Make?* 232  
    *Pay with Competition (Match)* 232  
    *Lead Pay-Level Policy* 234  
    *Lag Pay-Level Policy* 234  
    *Different Policies for Different Employee Groups* 235  
    *Not by Pay Level Alone: Pay-Mix Strategies* 235  
Consequences of Pay-Level and -Mix Decisions:  
Guidance from the Research 240  
    *Efficiency* 240  
    *Fairness* 241  
    *Compliance* 241  
*Your Turn: Two-Tier Wages* 242

## Appendix

### 7-A: Utility Analysis 244

## Chapter 8

### Designing Pay Levels, Mix, and Pay Structures 252

Major Decisions 253  
Specify Competitive Pay Policy 253  
The Purpose of a Survey 254  
    *Adjust Pay Level—How Much to Pay?* 254  
    *Adjust Pay Mix—What Forms?* 254  
    *Adjust Pay Structure?* 254  
    *Study Special Situations* 255  
    *Estimate Competitors' Labor Costs* 255  
Select Relevant Market Competitors 255  
    *Fuzzy Markets* 259  
Design the Survey 260  
    *Who Should Be Involved?* 260  
    *How Many Employers?* 260  
    *Which Jobs to Include?* 263  
    *What Information to Collect?* 265  
Interpret Survey Results and Construct a Market Line 268  
    *Verify Data* 269  
    *Statistical Analysis* 274  
    *Update the Survey Data* 276  
    *Construct a Market Pay Line* 276  
    *Setting Pay for Benchmark and Non-Benchmark Jobs* 278  
    *Combine Internal Structure and External Market Rates* 280

From Policy to Practice: The Pay-Policy Line 281  
    *Choice of Measure* 281  
    *Updating* 281  
    *Policy Line as Percent of Market Line* 282  
From Policy to Practice: Grades and Ranges 282  
    *Why Bother with Grades and Ranges?* 282  
    *Develop Grades* 283  
    *Establish Range Midpoints, Minimums, and Maximums* 283  
    *Overlap* 284  
From Policy to Practice: Broad Banding 285  
    *Flexibility-Control* 287  
Balancing Internal and External Pressures:  
Adjusting the Pay Structure 288  
    *Reconciling Differences* 288  
Market Pricing 288  
    *Business Strategy (More Than “Follow the Leader”)* 289  
Review 290  
*Your Turn: Google Evolving Pay Strategy* 291  
*Still Your Turn: Word-of-Mouse: Dot-Com Comparisons* 292

## PART FOUR

### EMPLOYEE CONTRIBUTIONS: DETERMINING INDIVIDUAL PAY

## Chapter 9

### Pay-for-Performance: The Evidence 302

What Behaviors Do Employers Care About?  
Linking Organization Strategy to Compensation and Performance Management 303  
What Does It Take to Get These Behaviors? What Theory Says 308  
What Does It Take to Get These Behaviors? What Practitioners Say 312  
Does Compensation Motivate Behavior? 317  
    *Do People Join a Firm Because of Pay?* 317  
    *Do People Stay in a Firm (or Leave) Because of Pay?* 318  
    *Do Employees More Readily Agree to Develop Job Skills Because of Pay?* 319  
    *Do Employees Perform Better on Their Jobs Because of Pay?* 319

Designing a Pay-for-Performance Plan 323

*Efficiency* 323

*Equity/Fairness* 324

*Compliance* 325

*Your Turn: Burger Boy* 325

## Chapter 10

### Pay-for-Performance Plans 335

What Is a Pay-for-Performance Plan? 335

Does Variable Pay Improve Performance Results?

The General Evidence 337

Specific Pay-for-Performance Plans:

Short Term 337

*Merit Pay* 337

*Lump-Sum Bonuses* 338

*Individual Spot Awards* 340

*Individual Incentive Plans* 340

*Individual Incentive Plans: Advantages and Disadvantages* 343

*Individual Incentive Plans: Examples* 344

Team Incentive Plans: Types 345

*Comparing Group and Individual Incentive Plans* 351

*Large Group Incentive Plans* 352

*Gain-Sharing Plans* 352

*Profit-Sharing Plans* 357

*Earnings-at-Risk Plans* 358

*Group Incentive Plans: Advantages and Disadvantages* 359

*Group Incentive Plans: Examples* 360

Explosive Interest in Long-Term Incentive Plans 360

*Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)* 362

*Performance Plans (Performance Share and Performance Unit)* 363

*Broad-Based Option Plans (BBOPs)* 363

*Combination Plans: Mixing Individual and Group* 363

*Your Turn: Incentives Can Be too*

*Powerful* 364

## Appendix

**10-A: Profit-Sharing (401K) at Walgreens** 366

## Chapter 11

### Performance Appraisals 371

The Role of Performance Appraisals in Compensation Decisions 372

*Performance Metrics* 373

Strategies for Better Understanding and Measuring Job Performance 374

*The Balanced Scorecard Approach* 375

*Strategy 1: Improve Appraisal Formats* 375

*Strategy 2: Select the Right Raters* 384

*Strategy 3: Understand How Raters Process Information* 386

*Strategy 4: Training Raters to Rate More Accurately* 390

Putting It All Together: The Performance Evaluation Process 391

Equal Employment Opportunity and Performance Evaluation 392

Tying Pay to Subjectively Appraised Performance 396

*Competency: Customer Care* 397

*Performance- and Position-Based Guidelines* 398

*Designing Merit Guidelines* 398

Promotional Increases as a Pay-for-Performance Tool 401

*Your Turn: Performance Appraisal at Burger King* 401

## Appendix

**11-A: Balanced Scorecard Example: Department of Energy (Federal Personal Property Management Program)** 405

**11-B: Sample Appraisal Form for Leadership Dimension: Pfizer Pharmaceutical** 408

## PART FIVE

### EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

## Chapter 12

### The Benefit Determination Process 428

Why the Growth in Employee Benefits? 430

*Wage and Price Controls* 430



## viii Table of Contents

|  |            |
|--|------------|
| <i>Unions</i>  | 430        |
| <i>Employer Impetus</i>  | 430        |
| <i>Cost Effectiveness of Benefits</i>                              | 431        |
| <i>Government Impetus</i>  | 431        |
| The Value of Employee Benefits                                     | 431        |
| Key Issues in Benefit Planning, Design, and Administration         | 433        |
| <i>Benefits Planning and Design Issues</i>                         | 433        |
| <i>Benefit Administration Issues</i>                               | 434        |
| Components of a Benefit Plan                                       | 437        |
| <i>Employer Preferences</i>  | 437        |
| <i>Employee Preferences</i>  | 440        |
| Administering the Benefit Program                                  | 443        |
| <i>Employee Benefit Communication</i>                              | 443        |
| <i>Claims Processing</i>   | 446        |
| <i>Cost Containment</i>  | 446        |
| <i>Your Turn: World Measurement</i>                                | 447        |
| <br>   |            |
| <b>Chapter 13</b>  |            |
| <b>Benefit Options</b>   | <b>455</b> |
| Legally Required Benefits  | 458        |
| <i>Workers' Compensation</i>                                       | 459        |
| <i>Social Security</i>   | 460        |
| <i>Unemployment Insurance</i>                                      | 463        |
| <i>Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA)</i>                         | 465        |
| <i>Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA)</i>      | 465        |
| <i>Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)</i> | 465        |
| Retirement and Savings Plan Payments                               | 465        |
| <i>Defined Benefit Plans</i>                                       | 466        |
| <i>Defined Contribution Plans</i>                                  | 466        |
| <i>Individual Retirement Accounts (IRAs)</i>                       | 468        |
| <i>Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA)</i>             | 468        |
| <i>How Much Retirement Income to Provide?</i>                      | 470        |
| Life Insurance   | 471        |
| Medical and Medically Related Payments                             | 471        |
| <i>General Health Care</i>   | 471        |
| <i>Health Care: Cost Control Strategies</i>                        | 475        |
| <i>Short- and Long-Term Disability</i>                             | 476        |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| <i>Dental Insurance</i>                                    | 477 |
| <i>Vision Care</i>   | 477 |
| Miscellaneous Benefits                                     | 478 |
| <i>Paid Time Off During Working Hours</i>                  | 478 |
| <i>Payment for Time Not Worked</i>                         | 478 |
| <i>Child Care</i>  | 479 |
| <i>Elder Care</i>  | 479 |
| <i>Domestic Partner Benefits</i>                           | 479 |
| <i>Legal Insurance</i>                                     | 479 |
| Benefits for Contingent Workers                            | 480 |
| <i>Your Turn: Adapting Benefits to a Changing Strategy</i> | 480 |

## **PART SIX** **EXTENDING THE SYSTEM**

### **Chapter 14** **Compensation of Special Groups** 487

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Who Are Special Groups?   | 488 |
| Compensation Strategy for Special Groups  | 488 |
| <i>Supervisors</i>  | 488 |
| <i>Corporate Directors</i>  | 489 |
| <i>Executives</i>   | 490 |
| <i>What's All the Furor over Executive Compensation? What the Critics and Press Say</i> | 495 |
| <i>What's All the Furor over Executive Compensation? What Academics Say</i>             | 499 |
| <i>Scientists and Engineers in High-Technology Industries</i>                           | 501 |
| <i>Sales Forces</i>   | 505 |
| <i>Contingent Workers</i>   | 509 |
| <i>Your Turn: A Sports Sales Plan</i>   | 510 |

### **Chapter 15** **Union Role in Wage and Salary Administration** 517

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| The Impact of Unions in Wage Determination                      | 518 |
| <i>Union Impact on General Wage Levels</i>                      | 519 |
| <i>The Structure of Wage Packages</i>                           | 521 |
| <i>Union Impact: The Spillover Effect</i>                       | 522 |
| <i>Role of Unions in Wage and Salary Policies and Practices</i> | 522 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Unions and Alternative Reward Systems         | 527 |
| <i>Lump-Sum Awards</i>                        | 527 |
| <i>Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs)</i> | 527 |
| <i>Pay-for-Knowledge Plans</i>                | 527 |
| <i>Gain-Sharing Plans</i>                     | 528 |
| <i>Profit-Sharing Plans</i>                   | 528 |
| <i>Your Turn: Predicting a Contract's</i>     |     |
| <i>Clauses</i>                                | 529 |

## Chapter 16

### International Pay Systems 534

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| The Global Context                                      | 536 |
| The Social Contract                                     | 538 |
| <i>Centralized or Decentralized</i>                     |     |
| <i>Pay-Setting</i>                                      | 539 |
| <i>Regulation</i>                                       | 540 |
| Culture   | 543 |
| <i>Culture Matters, but So Does Cultural</i>            |     |
| <i>Diversity</i>  | 545 |
| Trade Unions and Employee Involvement                   | 547 |
| Ownership and Financial Markets                         | 547 |
| Managerial Autonomy                                     | 548 |
| Comparing Costs   | 549 |
| <i>Labor Costs and Productivity</i>                     | 549 |
| <i>Cost of Living and Purchasing Power</i>              | 552 |
| Comparing Systems                                       | 555 |
| <i>The Total Pay Model: Strategic Choices</i>           | 555 |
| National Systems: Comparative Mind-Set                  | 555 |
| <i>Japanese Traditional National System</i>             | 555 |
| <i>German Traditional National System</i>               | 559 |
| <i>Strategic Comparisons: Traditional Systems in</i>    |     |
| <i>Japan, Germany, United States</i>                    | 560 |
| <i>Evolution and Change in the Traditional Japanese</i> |     |
| <i>and German Models</i>                                | 562 |
| Strategic Market Mind-Set                               | 564 |
| <i>Localizer: "Think Global, Act Local"</i>             | 564 |
| <i>Exporter: "Headquarters Knows Best"</i>              | 564 |
| <i>Globalizer: "Think and Act Globally and</i>          |     |
| <i>Locally"</i>   | 565 |
| Expatriate Pay  | 565 |
| <i>Elements of Expatriate Compensation</i>              | 567 |
| <i>The Balance Sheet Approach</i>                       | 569 |
| <i>Expatriate Systems → Objectives? Quel</i>            |     |
| <i>dommage!</i>   | 573 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Borderless World → Borderless Pay?             |     |
| Globalists                                     | 574 |
| <i>Your Turn: IBM's Worldwide Business and</i> |     |
| <i>Employment Strategies and Compensation</i>  | 574 |

## PART SEVEN

### MANAGING THE SYSTEM

## Chapter 17

### Government and Legal Issues in Compensation 591

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Government as Part of the Employment                         |     |
| Relationship   | 593 |
| <i>Demand</i>  | 593 |
| <i>Supply</i>  | 593 |
| Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938                             | 596 |
| <i>Minimum Wage</i>  | 597 |
| <i>Overtime and Hours of Work</i>                            | 599 |
| <i>Child Labor</i>   | 604 |
| Living Wage  | 604 |
| Employee or Independent Contractor?                          | 605 |
| Prevailing Wage Laws   | 608 |
| Pay Discrimination: What Is It?                              | 608 |
| The Equal Pay Act  | 610 |
| <i>Definition of Equal</i>                                   | 611 |
| <i>Definitions of Skill, Effort, Responsibility, Working</i> |     |
| <i>Conditions</i>  | 611 |
| <i>Factors Other Than Sex</i>                                | 612 |
| <i>"Reverse" Discrimination</i>                              | 612 |
| Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and                |     |
| Related Laws   | 613 |
| <i>Disparate Treatment</i>                                   | 614 |
| <i>Disparate Impact</i>                                      | 614 |
| Executive Order 11246  | 614 |
| Pay Discrimination and Dissimilar Jobs                       | 617 |
| <i>Evidence of Discrimination: Use of</i>                    |     |
| <i>Market Data</i>   | 617 |
| <i>Evidence of Discrimination: Jobs of Comparable</i>        |     |
| <i>Worth</i>   | 618 |
| Earnings Gaps  | 618 |
| <i>Sources of the Earnings Gaps</i>                          | 620 |
| <i>Differences in Occupations and</i>                        |     |
| <i>Qualifications</i>  | 620 |

x *Table of Contents*

*Differences in Industries and Firms* 623  
*Union Membership* 624  
*Presence of Discrimination* 624  
*Gaps Are Global* 625  
Comparable Worth 625  
*The Mechanics* 626  
*Union Developments* 627  
Compliance: A Proactive Approach 628  
*Your Turn: Self-Evaluation and Pay*  
Discrimination 628  
*Still Your Turn: From Barista to Manager* 629  
*Still (yes, still) Your Turn: "I Was Gaga's Slave"* 630

## **Chapter 18**

### **Management: Making It Work 640**

Managing, Controlling (and Sometimes  
Reducing) Labor Costs 641  
*Number of Employees (a.k.a.: Staffing Levels or  
Headcount)* 642  
*Hours* 646  
*Controlling Benefits* 646  
*Controlling Average Cash Compensation* 647  
Control Salary Level: Top Down 648  
*Current Year's Rise* 648  
*Ability to Pay* 648  
*Competitive Market Pressures* 649  
*Turnover Effects* 649  
*Cost of Living* 649  
*Rolling It All Together* 651

Control Salary Level: Bottom Up 652  
Ethics: Managing or Manipulating? 653  
*Where Is the Compensation Professional?* 654  
Embedded Controls 654  
*Range Maximums and Minimums* 654  
*Promotions and External versus Internal Hires* 655  
*Compa-Ratios* 655  
*Variable Pay* 656  
*Analyzing Costs* 656  
*Analyzing Value Added* 657  
Communication: Managing the Message 659  
*Say What? (Or, What to Say?)* 664  
Pay as Change Agent 665  
Structuring the Compensation Function 666  
*Centralization—Decentralization* 666  
*Flexibility within Corporatewide  
Principles* 667  
*Reengineering and Outsourcing* 667  
*Balancing Flexibility and Control* 668  
*Your Turn: Communication by Copier* 669  
*Still Your Turn: Managing Compensation Costs,  
Headcount, and Participation/Communication  
Issues* 669

### **Glossary 675**

### **Name Index 694**

### **Subject Index 706**

# About the Authors

## GEORGE T. MILKOVICH

George T. Milkovich is the M. P. Catherwood Emeritus Professor at the Industrial Labor Relations School, Cornell University. For more than 40 years he has studied and written about how people get paid and what difference it makes. Milkovich served on several editorial boards and received many awards for his research contributions. He received the Keystone Award for Lifetime Achievement from the WorldatWork Association and the Distinguished Career Contributions Award from the Academy of Management, and he is a Fellow in both the Academy of Management and the National Academy of Human Resources. He chaired the National Academy of Sciences Committee on Performance and Pay. Milkovich is one of the founders of the Center for Advanced HR Studies, a research and development partnership of leading corporations and Cornell's ILR School. He also advised numerous companies around the world on their compensation strategies, received three outstanding teacher awards, and was a visiting professor at several international universities in Europe and Asia. Milkovich conducted executive seminars in many countries and served on advisory boards of leading academic/research centers in the United States and China.

## JERRY M. NEWMAN

Dr. Jerry Newman is a SUNY Distinguished Professor at State University of New York–Buffalo. His interests are in the area of human resource management, with particular emphasis on compensation and rewards. He is author of the book *My Secret Life on the McJob: Lessons in Leadership Guaranteed to Supersize Any Management Style* (McGraw-Hill, 2007). This book was selected as one of the twelve “Best of 2007” by *The Wall Street Journal*. Newman is also co-author with George Milkovich of earlier editions of *Compensation*, a best-in-class-book for McGraw-Hill since 1984. His article, “Compensation Lessons from the Fast Food Trenches” (WorldatWork, March 2007, pp. 22–27), was chosen as SNAPS National feature article winner in 2008. He is also author of approximately 100 articles on compensation and rewards, performance management, and other HR issues. In more than 30 years of consulting, Jerry has worked with such companies as Cummins Engine, AT&T, Graphic Controls, Hewlett-Packard, RJR Nabisco, Sorrento Cheese, McDonalds, and A & W Root Beer. Dr. Newman is a recipient of nine teaching awards, including the SUNY Chancellor's Award for Excellence in teaching.

## BARRY GERHART

Barry Gerhart is the Bruce R. Ellig Distinguished Chair in Pay and Organizational Effectiveness, School of Business, University of Wisconsin–Madison. Professor Gerhart received his B.S. in Psychology from Bowling Green State University and his Ph.D. in Industrial Relations from the University of Wisconsin–Madison. He serves on the editorial boards of the *Academy of Management Journal*, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review*,

*International Journal of Human Resource Management, Journal of Applied Psychology, Journal of World Business, Management and Organization Review Management Revue, and Personnel Psychology.* Professor Gerhart is a past recipient of the Scholarly Achievement Award, the International Human Resource Management Scholarly Achievement Award, and the Heneman Career Achievement Award, all from the Human Resources Division, Academy of Management.

# Preface

A few books can change your life. Our book may not be one of them. However, if you read it, you will better understand that *pay matters*. After all, you can't pick up a newspaper, power up a computer, or read a blog today without someone talking about compensation. The Great Recession had major ramifications for pay. Some folks had their hours cut and/or their pay frozen or reduced. Why? Because it's a way to cut compensation costs (though not necessarily the benefits portion of compensation costs) without laying off workers. Others, of course, were laid off and lost their jobs, income, and benefits. The recession also focused attention on executive compensation. As the government bailed out the financial industry, newspapers were reporting large bonuses going to the very employees who helped cause the financial disaster. With the end of the recession, we have seen employers put less emphasis on cutting labor costs and more emphasis on hiring (sometimes even in the United States). However, job growth has been modest. Why? Employers have become increasingly careful about adding new workers because they want to keep costs under control and they don't want to have to reduce the workforce if they guess wrong about increasing product demand (and the need for more workers). But competition for some types of workers has increased and wages, salaries, and benefits have likewise increased for such workers, meaning that employers must continually evaluate and benchmark their pay to be competitive.

Pay also matters around the globe. For example, if you are a Russian cosmonaut, you can earn a bonus of \$1,000 for every space walk you take (technically known as "extravehicular activity," or EVA), up to three per space trip. A contract listing specific tasks to be done on a space mission permits you to earn up to \$30,000 above the \$20,000 you earn while you are on the ground. (In contrast to the Russian cosmonauts, wealthy Americans are lining up to pay \$15 million [plus an additional \$20 million airfare] to the Russian Space Agency for their own personal EVA.) Conclusion: *Pay matters*.

If you read this book, you will also better understand that *what you pay for matters*. Many years ago, when Green Giant discovered too many insect parts in the pea packs from one of its plants, it designed a bonus plan that paid people for finding insect parts. Green Giant got what it paid for: insect parts. Innovative Green Giant employees brought insect parts from home to add to the peas just before they removed them and collected the bonus.

The Houston public school district also got what it paid for when it promised teachers bonuses of up to \$6,000 if their students' test scores exceeded targets. Unfortunately, several teachers were later fired when it was discovered that they had leaked answers to their students and adjusted test scores.

Such problems are global. A British telephone company paid a cash bonus to operators based on how quickly they completed requests for information. Some operators discovered that the fastest way to complete a request was to give out a wrong number or—even faster—just hang up on the caller. "We're actually looking at a new bonus scheme," says an insightful company spokesperson. Conclusion: *What you pay for matters*.

If you read this book, you will also learn that *how you pay matters*. Motorola trashed its old-fashioned pay system that employees said guaranteed a raise every six

months if you were still breathing. The new system paid for learning new skills and working in teams. Sound good? It wasn't. Employees resented those team members who went off for six weeks of training at full pay while remaining team members picked up their work. Motorola was forced to trash its new-fashioned system, too.

Microsoft employees were also grumbling. More were leaving; top recruits were going elsewhere. The lackluster performance of Microsoft stock was depressing the value of the eye-popping stock options the company routinely doled out. What to do? Rather than stock options, Microsoft changed its pay system to give employees actual shares of stock with a value that was immediately known. This move increased the value of employees' pay and eliminated the risk they faced from the stock performance. What did Microsoft get? Happier, more expensive people. No word yet on product innovation, customer satisfaction, or even quality of new hires. Conclusion: *How you pay matters*.

We live in interesting times. Anywhere you look on the globe today, economic and social pressures are forcing managers to rethink how people get paid and what difference it makes. Traditional approaches to compensation are being questioned. But what is being achieved by all this experimentation and change? We have lots of fads and fashions, but how much of it is folderol?

In this book, we strive to cull beliefs from facts, wishful thinking from demonstrable results, and opinions from research. Yet when all is said and done, managing compensation is part science, but also part art.

## ABOUT THIS BOOK

This book is based on the strategic choices in managing compensation. We introduce these choices, real-world issues that managers confront from New York to New Zealand and all points between, in the total compensation model in Chapter 1. This model provides an integrating framework that is used throughout the book. Major compensation issues are discussed in the context of current theory, research, and practice. The practices illustrate new developments as well as established approaches to compensation decisions.

Each chapter contains at least one *e-Compensation box* to point you to some of the vast compensation information on the Internet. Real-life *Your Turn* cases ask you to apply the concepts and techniques discussed in each chapter. For example, the *Your Turn* in Chapter 9 draws on Professor Newman's experience when he worked undercover for 14 months in seven fast-food restaurants. The case takes you into the gritty details of the employees' behaviors (including Professor Newman's) during rush hour, as they desperately work to satisfy the customers' orders and meet their own performance targets set by their manager. You get to recommend which rewards will improve employees' performance (including Professor Newman's) and customers' satisfaction. We tackle major compensation issues from three sides: theory, research, and practice—no problem can survive that onslaught!

The authors also publish *Cases in Compensation*, an integrated casebook designed to provide additional practical skills that apply the material in this book. The casebook is available directly from the authors' (e-mail: [cases.in.compensation@gmail.com](mailto:cases.in.compensation@gmail.com)). Completing the integrated case will help you develop skills readily transferable to future jobs and assignments. Instructors are invited to e-mail for more information on how *Cases in Compensation* can help translate compensation research and theory into practice and build competencies for on-the-job decisions.

But *caveat emptor!* “Congress raises the executive minimum wage to \$565.15 an hour,” reads the headline in the satirical newspaper *The Onion* (www.onion.com, “America’s Finest News Source”). The article says that the increase will help executives meet the federal standard-of-easy-living. “Our lifestyles are expensive to maintain,” complains one manager. Although the story in *The Onion* may clearly be fiction, sometimes it is more difficult to tell. One manager told us that when she searched for this textbook in her local bookstore, store personnel found the listing in their information system—under fiction!

## WHAT’S NEW

All chapters have been revised. Each includes updated comparisons of the pay strategies or practices used in specific, named companies. Some of these are well established and successful (Apple, IBM, Microsoft, Merrill Lynch, Nucor, Toyota), some face real problems (American Airlines, Best Buy, General Motors), and others are using unique practices (Google, Whole Foods). This edition continues to emphasize the importance of total compensation and its relevance for achieving sustainable competitive advantage. It reinforces our conviction that beyond *how much* people are paid, *how* they are paid really matters. Managing pay means ensuring that the right people get the right pay for achieving objectives in the right way. Greater emphasis is given to theoretical advances and evidence from research. Throughout the book we translate this evidence into guidance for improving the management of pay. For example, Chapters 12 and 13 have been heavily revised, reflecting the warp speed changes in benefits practices. Every day some new benefit, or delivery system, is being proposed. Changes in medical coverage and the entire health-care industry are evolving at an increasing rate. Executives pay attention to these high-cost issues, so we introduce you to the main issues governing decision making. Chapters 1, 7, and 16 consider the compensation issues faced by Apple in China, where most of its manufacturing is done (by its Taiwanese subcontractor, Foxconn). Chapters 1 and 2 also, for the first time, highlight Nucor, a manufacturing success story in the United States.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In addition to our bookstore shopper, many people have contributed to our understanding of compensation and to the preparation of this textbook. We owe a special, continuing debt of gratitude to our students. In the classroom, they motivate and challenge us, and as returning seasoned managers, they try mightily to keep our work relevant:

**Kenneth Abosch**

*Hewitt Associates*

**Stephanie Argentine**

*Rich Products*

**Patrick Beall**

*Lockheed Martin*

**Joseph Bruno**

*Kodak*

**Karee Buerger**

*Hewitt Associates*

**Federico Castellanos**

*IBM EMEA*

**Cindy Cohen**

*Impac*

**Andrew Doyle**

*Oppenheimer Fund*



**Brian Dunn**

*Maclagan*

**Bruce Ellig**

*Author*

**Thomas Fentner**

*Health Now*

**Rich Floersch**

*McDonald's USA*

**Beth Florin**

*Clark Consulting*

**Richard Frings**

*Johnson & Johnson*

**Takashi Fujiwara**

*Mitsubishi*

**Yuichi Funada**

*Toshiba*

**Ted Grasela**

*Cognigen*

**Thomas Gresch**

*General Motors*

**Peter Hearl**

*YUM Brands (emeritus)*

**Lada Hrubá**

*Bristol Meyers Squibb*

**Richard Ivey**

*KFC*

**Tae-Jin Kim**

*SK Group*

**Joe Kreuz**

*Advantage Professionals*

**Hiroshi Kurihara**

*Fuji Xerox*

**Christian LeBreton**

*IBM EMEA*

**Mitch Linnick**

*IBM*

**Tony Marchak**

*IBM EMEA*

**Masaki Matsuhashi**

*Toshiba*

**Randy McDonald**

*IBM*

**Nancy McGough**

*Room & Board*

**Matt Milkovich**

*Registry Nursing*

**Michael Milkovich**

*Warecorp*

**Sarah Milkovich**

*Jet Propulsion Laboratory*

**Sonja Milkovich**

*Sled Dog Software*

**Pat Murtha**

*Pizza Hut*

**David Ness**

*Medtronic*

**Erinn Newman**

*American Express*

**Kelly Newman**

*Presbyterian Residence*

**Terrie Newman**

*HR Foundations*

**Stephen O'Byrne**

*Shareholder Value Advisors*

**Tony Ragusa**

*Stereo Advantage*

**Jaime Richardson**

*GE Healthcare*

**Lindsay Scott**

*Lindsay Scott & Associates*

**Jason Sekanina**

*Linear Technology*

**Rich Severa**

*Accretive Partners & Strategies LLC*

**Diana Southall**

*HR Foundations*

**Cassandra Steffan**

*Frito-Lay*

**Masanori Suzuki**

*Google Japan*

**Ichiro Takemura**

*Toshiba*

**Richard Their**

*Xerox*

**Jan Tichy***Merck***Andrew Thompson***Link Group Consultants***Jose Tomas***Burger King***Karen Velkey***Northrop Grumman***Ian Ziskin***Northrop Grumman*

Our universities—Cornell, Buffalo, and Wisconsin—provide forums for the interchange of ideas among students, experienced managers, and academic colleagues. We value this interchange. Other academic colleagues also provided helpful comments on this edition of the book. We particularly thank:

**Glenda Barrett***University of Maryland University  
College***Rebecca Bennett***Louisiana Tech University***H. Kristi Davison***University of Mississippi***Bahaudin Mujtaba***Nova Southeastern University*

We also thank the following colleagues for their contributions to past editions:

**Tom Arnold***Westmoreland Community College***Lubica Bajzikova***Comenius University, Bratislava***Stuart Basefsky***Cornell University***Melissa Barringer***University of Massachusetts***Matt Bloom***University of Notre Dame***James T. Brakefield***Western Illinois University***Timothy Brown***San Jose State University***Lisa Burke***University of Tennessee–Chattanooga***Wayne Cascio***University of Colorado–Denver***Dennis Cockrell***Washington State University–Pullman***Lee Dyer***Cornell University***Allen D. Engle Sr.***Eastern Kentucky University***Ingrid Fulmer***Rutgers University***Luis Gomez-Mejia***Arizona State University***Thomas Hall***Penn State University***Kevin Hallock***Cornell University***Robert Heneman***Ohio State University***Vandra Huber***University of Washington***Greg Hundley***Purdue***Frank Krzystofiak***SUNY–Buffalo***David I. Levine***University of California–Berkeley***Janet Marler***SUNY–Albany*

**Patrenia McAbee**  
*Delaware County Community College*

**Atul Mitra**  
*Northern Iowa University*

**Michael Moore**  
*Michigan State University*

**Teresa S. Nelson**  
*Butler County Community College*

**Richard Posthuma**  
*University of Texas at El Paso*

**Janez Prasnikar**  
*University of Ljubljana*

**Vlado Pucik**  
*IMD*

**Hesan Ahmed Quazi**  
*Nanyang Business School*

**Sara Rynes**  
*University of Iowa*

**Dow Scott**  
*Loyola University Chicago*

**Jason Shaw**  
*University of Minnesota*

**Thomas Stone**  
*Oklahoma State University*

**Michael Sturman**  
*Cornell University*

**Ningyu Tang**  
*Shanghai Jiao Tong University*

**Thomas Li-Ping Tang**  
*Middle Tennessee State University*

**Tom Timmerman**  
*Tennessee Tech University*

**Charlie Trevor**  
*University of Wisconsin*

**Zhong-Ming Wang**  
*Zhejiang University*

**Yoshio Yanadori**  
*University of British Columbia*

**Nada Zupan**  
*University of Ljubljana*

# Part One

## Introducing the Pay Model and Pay Strategy

Why do we work? If we are fortunate, our work brings meaning to our lives, challenges us in new and exciting ways, brings us recognition, and gives us the opportunity to interact with interesting people and create friendships. Oh yes—we also get a paycheck. Here in Part One of your book, we begin by talking about what we mean by “pay” and how paying people in different ways can influence them and, in turn, influence organization success. Wages and salaries, of course, are part of compensation, but so, too, for some employees, are bonuses, health care benefits, stock options, and/or work-life balance programs.

Compensation is one of the most powerful tools organizations have to influence their employees. Managed well, it can play a major role in organizations successfully executing their strategies through their employees. We will see how companies like Whole Foods, Nucor, the SAS Institute, Microsoft, Google, and others use compensation to attract, motivate, and retain the right employees to execute their strategies. We will also see how companies like Apple sell premium products at attractive price points, to an important degree by using suppliers that have low labor costs. Managed less well, as bankruptcies at General Motors, Chrysler, Lehman Brothers, and more recently, American Airlines (which states that it needs to reduce labor costs by \$1.25 billion per year to be competitive), for example, might indicate, compensation decisions can also come back to haunt you. In Part One, we describe the compensation policies and techniques that organizations use and the multiple objectives they hope to achieve by effectively managing these compensation decisions.

Although compensation has its guiding principles, we will see that “the devil is in the details” and how any compensation program is specifically designed and implemented will help determine its success. We want you to bring a healthy skepticism when you encounter simplistic or sweeping claims about whether a particular way of managing compensation does or does not work. For example, organizations, in general, benefit from pay for performance, but there are many types of pay for performance programs and it is not always easy to design and

## 2 Part One *Introducing the Pay Model and Pay Strategy*

implement a program that has the intended consequences (and avoids *unintended* consequences). So, general principles are helpful, but only to a point. Thus, in Part One, our aim is to also help you understand how compensation strategy decisions interact with the specific context of an organization (e.g., its business and human resource strategies) to influence organization success. We emphasize that good theory and research are fundamental to not only understanding compensation's likely effects, but also to developing that healthy skepticism we want you to have toward simplistic claims about what works and what does not.

# Chapter One

## The Pay Model

### Chapter Outline

Compensation: Does It Matter?  
(or, "So What?")

Compensation: Definition, Please

*Society*

*Stockholders*

*Managers*

*Employees*

*Incentive and Sorting Effects of Pay on*

*Employer's Behaviors*

*Global Views—Vive la différence*

Forms of Pay

*Cash Compensation: Base*

*Cash Compensation: Merit Pay/*

*Cost-of-Living Adjustments*

*Cash Compensation: Incentives*

*Long-Term Incentives*

*Benefits: Income Protection*

*Benefits: Work/Life Balance*

*Benefits: Allowances*

*Total Earnings Opportunities: Present*

*Value of a Stream of Earnings*

*Relational Returns from Work*

A Pay Model

*Compensation Objectives*

*Four Policy Choices*

*Pay Techniques*

Book Plan

**Caveat Emptor—Be an Informed  
Consumer**

*1. Is the Research Useful?*

*2. Does the Study Separate Correlation  
From Causation?*

*3. Are There Alternative Explanations?*

**Your Turn: The Role of Labor Costs  
in the Retail Electronics and Airline  
Industries**

Money (That's What I Want)

The best things in life are free

But you can keep them for the birds and bees

Chorus:

Now give me money

That's what I want

That's what I want, yeah

That's what I want

You're lovin' gives me a thrill

But you're lovin' don't pay my bills

[chorus]

Money don't get everything it's true

What it don't get, I can't use

[chorus]

## COMPENSATION: DOES IT MATTER? (OR, “SO WHAT?”)

Why should you care about compensation? Maybe because you and yours find that life goes more smoothly when there is at least as much money coming in as going out. (Refer, for example, to lyrics for Beatles’ song “Money.”)<sup>1</sup> Maybe you would like to solve the mystery of why you or someone you know gets paid the way they do. Maybe you are curious, too, about people in the news and their pay. Why did Johnny Depp earn \$50 million in one recent year, whereas “Snooki” earned \$1.6 million?<sup>2</sup> Why, until recently, did hourly workers at Chrysler get total compensation (i.e., wages plus benefits) of about \$76 per hour, whereas U.S. workers at Toyota received \$48 per hour and the average total compensation per hour in U.S. manufacturing was \$25 (and \$16 in Korea, \$3 in Mexico)? What steps did Chrysler take to bring its hourly labor costs down to about \$49 recently?<sup>3</sup> Why did Thomas W. Horton, chief executive at AMR (American Airlines) earn \$1.25 million, whereas Ralph Lauren, chief executive at Ralph Lauren, earned more than 50 times as much (\$67 million)? Why did James Simons, a former math professor and now hedge fund manager, earn \$2.1 billion?<sup>4</sup> (Wow, professors can make that much money? Oh, “former” professor. OK.)

More important, does it matter how much and how these people get paid? We’ll certainly talk about employee and executive pay in this book. (Maybe not so much about actors or related occupations. Sorry.) Let’s take a brief look at a few examples where pay does seem to have mattered.

General Motors (GM), like Chrysler, has, for decades, paid its workers well—too well perhaps for what it received in return. So what? Well, in 1970, GM had 150 U.S. plants and 395,000 hourly workers. In sharp contrast, GM now has 40 U.S. manufacturing plants and 48,000 U.S. hourly workers.<sup>5</sup> In June 2009, GM had to file for bankruptcy (avoiding it for a while thanks to loans from the U.S. government—i.e., you, the taxpayer). Not all of GM’s problems were compensation related. Of course, building too many vehicles that consumers did not want was also a problem. But, having labor costs higher than the competition, without corresponding advantages in efficiency, quality, and customer service, does not seem to have served GM or its stakeholders well. Its stock price peaked at \$93.62/share in April 2000. Its market value was about \$60 billion in 2000. That shareholder wealth was wiped out in bankruptcy. Think of the billions of dollars the U.S. taxpayer has put into GM (and what the odds are of getting all of that money back). Think of the hundreds of thousands of jobs that have been lost and the effects on communities that have lost those jobs.

On the other hand, Nucor Steel pays its workers very well relative to what other companies inside and outside of the steel industry pay. But Nucor also has much higher productivity than is typical in the steel industry. The result: Both the company and its workers do well. Apple Computer is able to keep prices for its iPad and iPhone lower than otherwise by outsourcing manufacturing to China in facilities owned by the Hon Hai Precision Industry Co., Ltd (Foxconn), a Taiwanese company. As we will see later, doing so generates billions (yes, billions with a “b”) of dollars in cost savings per year.

Wall Street financial services firms and banks used **incentive** plans that rewarded people for developing “innovative” new financial investment vehicles and for taking

risks to earn themselves and their firms a lot of money.<sup>6</sup> That is what happened—until recently. Then, the markets discovered that many such risks had gone bad. Blue Chip firms such as Lehman Brothers slid quickly into bankruptcy, whereas others like Bear Stearns and Merrill Lynch survived to varying degrees by finding other firms (J.P. Morgan and Bank of America, respectively) to buy them.

Would greater expertise in the design and execution of compensation plans have helped? Congress and the president seemed to think so, because they put into place legislation, the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), which included restrictions on executive pay designed to discourage executives from taking “unnecessary and excessive risks.” Another commentator agreed. In an opinion piece in *The Wall Street Journal*, entitled “How Business Schools Have Failed Business,” the former director of corporate finance policy at the United States Treasury wrote that “misaligned incentive programs are at the core of what brought our financial system to its knees.”<sup>7</sup> He says that we “should ask how many of the business schools attended by America’s CEOs and directors educate their students about the best way to design managerial compensation systems.” His answer: not many. Our book, we hope, can play a role in helping to better educate you, the reader, about the design of compensation systems, both for managers and for workers.

How people are paid affects their behaviors at work, which affect an organization’s success.<sup>8</sup> For most employers, compensation is a major part of total cost, and often it is the single largest part of operating cost. These two facts together mean that well-designed compensation systems can help an organization achieve and sustain competitive advantage. On the other hand, as we have recently seen, poorly designed compensation systems can likewise play a major role in undermining organization success.

## COMPENSATION: DEFINITION, PLEASE

How people view compensation affects how they behave. It does not mean the same thing to everyone. Your view probably differs, depending on whether you look at compensation from the perspective of a member of society, a stockholder, a manager, or an employee. Thus, we begin by recognizing different perspectives.

### Society

Some people see pay as a measure of justice. For example, a comparison of earnings between men and women highlights what many consider inequities in pay decisions. In 2007, among full-time workers in the United States, women earned 81 percent of what men earned, up from 62 percent in 1979. If women had the same education, experience, and union coverage as men and also worked in the same industries and occupations, they would be expected to earn about 90 percent of what men earn. Society has taken an interest in such earnings differentials. One indicator of this interest is the introduction of laws and regulation aimed at eliminating the role of discrimination in causing them.<sup>9</sup> (See Chapter 17.)


Benefits given as part of a total compensation package may also be seen as a reflection of equity or justice in society. Individuals and businesses in the United States spend \$2.6 trillion per year, or 17.9 percent of its economic output (gross domestic product) on health care.<sup>10</sup> Employers spend about 44 cents for benefits on top of every dollar



paid for **wages and salaries**.<sup>11</sup> Walmart reports that its health care costs have been growing faster than any other expense and that costs for care of employee spouses are far more expensive than costs for care of Walmart employees. Nevertheless, roughly 49 million people in the United States (16 percent of the population) have no health insurance.<sup>12</sup> (The Affordable Health Care Act of 2010 is aimed at increasing coverage.) A major reason is that the great majority of people (who are under the age of 65 and not below the poverty line) obtain health insurance through their employers, but small employers, which account for a substantial share of employment, are much less likely than larger employers to offer health insurance to their employees. As a result, 8 in 10 of the uninsured in the United States are from working families.<sup>13</sup> Given that those who do have insurance typically have it through an employer, it also follows then that as the unemployment rate increases, health care coverage declines further. Some users of online dating services provide information on their employer-provided health care insurance. Dating service “shoppers” say they view health insurance coverage as a sign of how well a prospect is doing in a career.

Job losses (or gains) in a country over time are partly a function of relative labor costs (and productivity) across countries. People in the United States worry about losing manufacturing jobs to Mexico, China, and other nations. (Increasingly, white collar work in areas like finance, computer programming, and legal services is also being sent overseas.) Exhibit 1.1 reveals that the hourly compensation (wages plus benefits) for Mexican manufacturing work (\$6.23) are about 18 percent of those paid in the United States (\$34.74). China’s estimated \$1.80 per hour is about 5 percent of the U.S. rate. However, the value of what is produced also needs to be considered. Productivity in China is about 15 percent of that of U.S. workers, whereas Mexican worker productivity is 30 percent of the U.S. level.<sup>14</sup> Finally, if low wages are the goal, there always seems to be somewhere that is lower. Some companies (e.g., Coach) are now moving work from China because its hourly wage, especially after recent increases, is not as low as in countries like Vietnam, India, and the Philippines. However, for other companies such as Foxconn, which builds iPhones and iPads for Apple, even with rapid increases in wages in China, labor costs remain very low in China compared to those in the United States and other advanced economies and Foxconn appears to be poised to continue having a larger presence in China.<sup>15</sup> (We return to the topic of international comparisons in Chapter 7 and Chapter 16.)

### EXHIBIT 1.1 Hourly Compensation Costs for Production Workers in Manufacturing (in U.S. Dollars)



Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics. International Comparisons of Hourly Labor Costs in Manufacturing, 2010.

Notes: Compensation includes wages and benefits. Latest hourly labor cost data for China was \$1.36 from 2008. Assumed 15% annual growth rate for 2009 and 2010 to obtain estimate of \$1.80 for 2010.

Some consumers know that pay increases often lead to price increases. They do not believe that higher labor costs benefit them. But other consumers lobby for higher wages. While partying revelers were collecting plastic beads at New Orleans' Mardi Gras, filmmakers were showing video clips of the Chinese factory that makes the beads. In the video, the plant manager describes the punishment (5 percent reduction in already low pay) that he metes out to the young workers for workplace infractions. After viewing the video, one reveler complained, "It kinda takes the fun out of it."<sup>16</sup>

## Stockholders

Stockholders are also interested in how employees are paid. Some believe that using stock to pay employees creates a sense of ownership that will improve performance, which will, in turn, increase stockholder wealth. But others argue that granting employees too much ownership dilutes stockholder wealth. Google's stock plan cost the company \$600 million in its first year of operation. So people who buy Google stock are betting that this \$600 million will motivate employees to generate more than \$600 million in extra revenue.

Stockholders have a particular interest in executive pay.<sup>17</sup> (Executive pay will be discussed further in Chapter 14.)<sup>18</sup> To the degree that the interests of executives are aligned with those of shareholders (e.g., by paying executives on the basis of company performance measures such as shareholder return), the hope is that company performance will be higher. There is debate, however, about whether executive pay and company performance are strongly linked in the typical U.S. company.<sup>19</sup> In the absence of such a linkage, concerns arise that executives can somehow use their influence to obtain high pay without necessarily performing well. Forbes compared the performance of the chief executive officer (CEO) at large U.S. firms to his/her compensation (see Exhibit 1.2). The idea, one might say, was to identify the CEOs who gave shareholders the "most (and least) bang for the buck."

Although the "best CEO for the buck" idea is interesting, the complex world of CEO pay means that things are not always so simple. Take, for example, the case of Jeffrey Bezos at Amazon, first on the Forbes list of best CEOs. Forbes reports that even though Amazon has had an average 36% annual return (much higher than the average 5% annual return for the S & P 500 during that same period), his average annual compensation over those 6 years was only \$1.4 million, modest for a CEO of a large firm. However, what Forbes does not report is that Bezos, who owns over 19% of Amazon shares, sold 6 million shares in 2010 alone, which generated income for him of \$793 million. So, to say that his income as a CEO was just over \$1 million per year really does not tell the entire story. At the other extreme, Richard Fairbank of Capital One Bank just barely missed making the Bottom Three in Exhibit 1.2. His average annual compensation over six years was \$15.5 million. That is an awful lot of money to be sure, especially since average annual shareholder return over that same period was negative (-6%). However, Mr. Fairbank took no base salary or bonus payments during that time period. Like Mr. Bezos, any actual income he received came entirely from exercising stock options, which could only pay off to the extent the stock price had risen since the date he received the option grants. Consider that between year-end 1995 and year-end 2005, the Capital One stock price (adjusted for splits) went from

**EXHIBIT 1.2 Bang for the Buck: CEO Compensation and Shareholder Return**

| Name                      | Company            | Firm Performance<br>6-Year Annual<br>Total Shareholder<br>Return (TSR) | Firm Performance<br>Relative to<br>its Industry<br>(Average TSR = 100) | 6-Year<br>Average CEO<br>Compensation |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Top Three</b>          |                    |  |  |                                       |
| Jeffrey Bezos             | Amazon             | 30%  | 121  | \$ 1,420,000                          |
| Marc R. Benioff           | Salesforce.com     | 25%  | 111  | \$ 530,000                            |
| Bob Sasser                | Dollar Tree        | 32%  | 123  | \$ 4,040,000                          |
| <b>Middle of the Pack</b> |                    |  |  |                                       |
| Mark G. Pappa             | EOG Resources      | 9%   | 101  | \$28,170,000                          |
| Joseph M. Tucci           | EMC                | 13%  | 111  | \$19,850,000                          |
| D.E. Washkewicz           | Parker-Hannifin    | 11%  | 101  | \$13,760,000                          |
| <b>Bottom Three</b>       |                    |  |  |                                       |
| Gregory H. Boyce          | Peabody Energy     | −6%  | 91   | \$15,640,000                          |
| William R. Klesse         | Valero Energy      | −10%   | 83   | \$10,100,000                          |
| Michael D. Frazier        | Genworth Financial | −19%   | 80   | \$10,470,000                          |

Source: From “America’s Highest Paid Chief Executives,” *Forbes*, April 4, 2012, © 2012 *Forbes*. All rights reserved. Used by permission.

\$7.01/share to \$81.18/share. That translated into an increase in shareholder value of roughly \$20 billion. Roughly another \$10 billion was created by year-end 2007. The last time Mr. Fairbank exercised any stock options was in 2008. Since then, he has had no realized income from Capital One. However, *Forbes* includes the value of stock option grants as compensation at the time they are received (consistent with SEC rules). But, those options translate into actual realized income only if the stock price subsequently increases. In other words, Mr. Fairbank’s “bang for the buck” depends on what years are included in the analysis and how compensation is defined and measured.

There certainly is an ongoing challenge to ensure that executives act in the best interest of shareholders. For example, during the meltdown in the financial services industry, top executives at Bear Stearns and Lehman Brothers regularly exercised stock options and sold stock during the 2000 to 2008 period prior to the meltdown. One estimate is that these stock-related gains plus bonus payments generated \$1.4 billion for the top five executives at Bear Stearns and \$1 billion for those at Lehman Brothers during the 2000–2008 period. “Thus, while the long-term shareholders in their firms were largely decimated, the executives’ performance-based compensation kept them in positive territory.” The problem here is that shareholders paid a huge penalty for what appears to have been overly aggressive risk-taking by executives, but the executives, in contrast, did quite well because of “their ability to claim large amounts of compensation based on short-term results.”<sup>20</sup>

Shareholders can influence executive compensation decisions in a variety of ways (e.g., through shareholder proposals and election of directors in proxy votes). In addition, the Dodd–Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act was signed

into law in 2010. Among its provisions is “say on pay,” which requires public companies to submit their executive compensation plan to a vote by shareholders. The vote is not binding. However, companies seem to be intent on designing compensation plans that do not result in negative votes. In addition, clawback provisions (designed to allow companies to reclaim compensation from executives in some situations) are available under Dodd-Frank and have also been adopted in stronger form by some companies.<sup>21</sup>

## Managers

For managers, compensation influences their success in two ways. First, it is a major expense. Competitive pressures, both global and local, force managers to consider the affordability of their compensation decisions. Labor costs can account for more than 50 percent of total costs. In some industries, such as financial or professional services and in education and government, this figure is even higher. However, even within an industry, labor costs as a percent of total costs vary among individual firms. For example, small neighborhood grocery stores, with labor costs between 15 percent and 18 percent, have been driven out of business by supermarkets that delivered the same products at a lower cost of labor (9 percent to 12 percent). Supermarkets today are losing market share to the warehouse club stores such as Sam’s Club and Costco, who enjoy an even lower cost of labor (4 percent to 6 percent), even though Costco pays above-average wages for the industry.

Exhibit 1.3 compares the hourly pay rate for retail workers at Costco to that at Walmart and Sam’s Club (which is owned by Walmart). Each store tries to provide a unique shopping experience. Walmart and Sam’s Club compete on low prices, with Sam’s Club being a “warehouse store” with especially low prices on a narrower range of products, often times sold in bulk. Costco also competes on the basis of low prices, but with a mix that includes more high-end products aimed at a higher customer income segment. To compete in this segment, Costco appears to have chosen to pay higher wages, perhaps as a way to attract and retain a higher quality workforce.<sup>22</sup> Indeed, in its recent annual report, Costco states that “With respect to expenses relating to the compensation of our employees, our philosophy is not to seek to minimize the wages and benefits that they earn. Rather, we believe that achieving our longer-term objectives of reducing employee turnover and enhancing employee satisfaction requires maintaining compensation levels that are better than the industry average for much of our workforce.” By comparison, Walmart simply states in its recent annual report that they “experience significant turnover in associates [i.e., employees] each year.”<sup>23</sup> Based on Exhibit 1.3, Costco is quite successful, relative to its competitors, in terms of employee retention, customer satisfaction, and the efficiency with which it generates sales (see revenue per square foot and revenue per employee). So, although its labor costs are higher than those of Sam’s Club and Walmart, it appears that this model works for Costco because it helps gain an advantage over its competitors.

Thus, rather than treating pay only as an expense to be minimized, a manager can also use it to influence employee behaviors and to improve the organization’s performance. As our Costco (versus Sam’s Club and Walmart) example seems to suggest, the way people are paid affects the quality of their work and their attitude toward